DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 056 627

FL 002 788

TITLE

Summary of Foreign Language Teacher Training

survey.

INSTITUTION

Washington Univ., Seattle. Washington Foreign

Language Program.

SPONS AGENCY

Ford Foundation, New York, N.Y.

PUB DATE NOTE

71 14p.

EDRS PRICE DESCRIPTORS MF-\$0.65 HC-\$3.29

Coilege Majors; Colleges; Language Instruction;

*Language Teachers; *Modern Languages; *Surveys;

*Teacher Education; *Teacher Qualifications; Teaching

Experience

ABSTRACT

Results of a survey conducted in the Spring of 1969 by the Washington Foreign Language Program and local school districts of recent graduates from the University of Washington are presented in this report. Information concerning the background and experiences of 52 practicing teachers in seven urban and suburban districts is summarized in tables on: (1) year of graduation; (2) undergraduate institutions; (3) undergraduate major language; (4) undergraduate minor language; (5) other languages, high school, undergraduate, and graduate; (6) foreign study and travel; (7) credits earned in linguistics; (8) total credits in literature in major language; (9) courses related to foreign language teaching; (10) graduate institution; (11) credits earned since the B.A.; (12) teaching assignments by high school language, level, and subject; and (13) evaluation of college training. (RL)



SUMMARY OF FOREIGN LANGUAGE TEACHER TRAINING SURVEY

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION
& WELFARE
OFFICE OF EDUCATION
THIS DOCUMENT HAS BEEN REPRODUCED
EXACTLY AS RECEIVED FRC M THE PERSON OR
ORGANIZATION ORIGINATING IT. POINTS OF
VIEW OR OPINIONS STATED DO NOT NECESSARILY REPRESENT OFFICIAL OFFICE OF EDUCATION POSITION OR POLICY.







Washington Foreign Language Program
University of Washington
1971

SUMMARY OF FOREIGN LANGUAGE TEACHER TRAINING SURVEY

A survey of recent graduates from the University of Washington conducted in the spring of 1969 by the Washington Foreign Language Program and the Seattle, Highline, Everett, Bellevue, Tacoma, Clover Fark, and Northshore school districts. Fifty-two practicing teachers from the seven urban and suburban districts participated in the survey: analysis of the results may be found in the section entitled Teacher Training Survey in the body of the report.



ı

1.	a)	Year of Graduation	Total B.A.'s Granted
		1962	1
		1963	1.
		1964	1
		1965	14
		1966	12
		1967	. 16
		1968	12
		No response: 5	·
	b)	Year of Graduation	Total M.A.'s Granted
		1964	1
		1967	3
		1968	1
2.		Undergraduate Institution	Total B.A.'s Granted
		University of Washington	19
		Seattle University	3
		Washington State University	1 4
		Western Washington State Coll	lege 4
		University of Puget Sound	. 6
		Seattle Pacific College	5
		Whitman College	2
		University of Portland	1
		University of Idaho	1
		University of Colorado	1
		Colorado College	1
		Iowa State University	1
	•	University of Southern Calif	ornia l
		University of California at Santa Barbara	ı
		California State University	1
		San Fernando Valley State Co	ollege l



3. a) Undergraduate major language:

French 20
German 4
Italian 1
Japanese 1
Russian 1
Spanish 25

b) Total credits in the major undergraduate language:

Years	Years of high school language study					
Average	Total	No. of respondents				
(2.64)	103	39				
	College sem	ester				
Average	Total	No. of respondents				
(36.32)	690	19				
,,		1				
	College quarter					
Average	Total	No. of respondents				
(47.79)	1,816	38				
	1	1				

4. Undergraduate minor language:

English 4
French 14
German 3

Russian l

Spanish 5

No answer: 25

5. Other languages, high school, undergraduate and graduate:
Years of high school study

	Tears of High School 2003				
	Average	Total	No. of respondents		
French	(1.5)	9	6		
German	(1)	14	Ц .		
Italian					
Latin	(2.25)	18	8		
Portugues	s e				
Russian					
Spanish	(2)	6	. 3		
		1			

	College semester credits				
	Average	Total	No. of respondents		
French	(15)	60	4		
German	(6.33)	19	3		
Italian	(16)	16	1		
Latin					
Portugue	ese				
Russian	$(\mathfrak{I}_{j^{k}})$	14	ı		
Spanish	(5)	5	1		

		rter creates		
Average		Total	No. of respondents	
French	(30.67)	276	. 9	
German	(18.67)	56	3	
Italian	(9)	9	1	
Latin				
Portuguese	(15)	. 15	1	
Russian	(13.5)	27	2	
Spanish	31.8	159	5	
	į			



6. Foreign study and travel: 40 respondents total

Year	No. of participants
1956-1961	. 8
1962	2
1963	2
1964	9
1965	11
1966	6
1967	8
1968 .	6
	v
Main Purpose	No. of participants
Study	9
Travel	10
Study and Travel	20
Work	6
	l .

7. Credits earned in linguistics: 33 respondents total

Course	Semester Quarter Credits Credits				
		Average	Total	No. of Respondents	
Romance Ling. 401		(3)	45	15	
Span. or Fren. 409		(4)	24	6	
Ling. 401-402		(4)	12	3	
Ling. 419		(3)	3	.1	
Span. Ling.(N.Y.U Madrid)		(1)	1	1	
Structure of Lang. (French, German)		(2.5)	5	2	
Old French Philology		(6)	6	1	
Applied Linguistics		(3.4)	17	5	
Linguistics (unspecified	.)	(3)	21	7	



8. Total credits in literature in major language: 46 respondents total

College Semester					
	Average Total No. of Responde				
'200 level courses	(4.86)	34	7		
300 level courses	(12.64)	139	11		
400 level courses	(10.71)	150	14		
500 level courses	(7.75)	31	7† .		
600 level courses					

College Quarter					
Average Total No. of Respond					
200 level courses	(8.8)	132	15		
300 level courses	(13.19)	343	26		
400 level courses	(12.17)	353	29		
500 level courses	(11)	88	8		
600 level courses	(10.5)	21	2		

9. Courses related to foreign language teaching:

	College Semester Credits					
	Average Total No. of Respondent					
Foreign language methods course Student teaching	(2.9) (7.64)	29 84	10 11			

College Quarter Credits						
	Average	Total	No. of Respondents			
Foreign language methods course Student teaching	(3.71) (1 4.93)	115 418	3 1 28			



10. Graduate Institution: 40 respondents total

Graduate Institution No. of	Respondents
University of Washington	21
University of Washington and the University of Paris	ı
University of Washington and Colorado State Univ	ı
University of Washington and the Univ. of Arizona	ı
Washington State University	1
Central Washington State College	5
Western Washington State College	2
Seattle Pacific College	1
University of Puget Sound	l
University of Puget Sound and Pacific Lutheran University	2
University of California at Santa Barbara	ı
University of Arizona and University of Oragon	ı
Montana State	1
University of Colorado and Willamette University	1
University of Colorado	. 1
Mary Baldwin (Madrid), Wheaton College and Middlebury College	1
N.Y.U. (Madrid)	1
Universidad Veracruzana (Jacapamex)	3.
University of Arizona (Guadalajara) and University of Wisconsin	1
University of Arizona (Guadalajara) and Stanford	ı
University of Granada	1
Universidad Iberoamericana	1
University of the Americas	1
University of Grenoble	1
Temple University (Sorbonne)	ı
Institute de Tourisme	1



Graduate Insti	tution No.	of Respondents
University of	Bordeaux	1
•	Salzburg (Austria)	1
University of Institute	Berlin and Goethe	1.



11. Credits earned since B.A.:

a) Completic	on of Fifth Year	Requirement
Complete	Incomplete	No response
8	42	2

b) <u>Credits</u>	earned in major	· language
Co	llege semester	
Average	Total	No. of Resp.
(1.96)	49	25
C	ollege Quarter	•
Average	Total	No. of Resp.
(2.84)	70.9	25
·		

c)	Credits	earned	in	other	languages
	Seme	ster		Qu:	arter
		35		1	47.5
			<u> </u>		

d)	Credits earned	in education.
	Semester	Quarter
	52	92.5
		<u> </u>

e)	Credits earned in	other areas
	Semester	Quarter
	59.8	55

12. a) Teaching assignments, by grade level and subject, expressed as a percentage of time. Grades 4-9:

		rades 4-6		(rades 7-8	
% of time		2.84		6	29.95	
Subject	Sp.	Fr.	Germ.	Sp.	Fr.	Germ.
% of	2.84	0	0	13.01	15.63	1.31

continued

12 Teaching assignments, by high school language level and subject, expressed as a percentage of time. Levels $\overline{1-3}$: a percentage of time.

	% of time 14.14 10.82 2.80	Subject Sp. Fr. Ger. Swed. Jap.	% of	**, :
	14. 1 ⁴ I	• वंड		
	10.82	ਸ਼ਾ.	29	Ľ
	2.80	Ger.	29.53	Level 1
	.98	Swed.		
	.98 .79 7.35 7.84 .59 .49 .98	Jap.		
+	7.35			
	7.84	Sp. Fr. Ger. Swed. Jap.	17.25	Lev
	.59	Ger.	.25	Level 2
	. 64.	Swed.		
	. 93	Јар.		
	3.01	ďS.		
	3.01 2.94 .39	Fr.		
•	. 39	Ger.	7.03	Level 3
-	64.	Sp. Fr. Ger. Swed. Jap.	7.03	3
	.20	Jap.	<u> </u>	

<u>c</u> Teaching assignments, by high school language level and subject, expressed as a percentage of time. Levels 4-6:

	•	ļ								
	0	0	.49	20 0	•20	1.27	.20	.78	2,42	% of time
	Ger	Sp. Fr.	• वंड	Ger.	Sp. Fr. Ger.	.dS	Ger.	Fr.	• ds	ject
8.04		64.		1.47	Н		0	3.40		% of
Unspecified		Level 6		15	· Level 5		1 4	Level 4		
Non-Foreign Language										er;
The same and the s										

13. Evaluation of college training:

Knowledge of customs, etc.	100	c)	Knowledge of literature	81.	b)	Sugerion Aural comprehension 30.6% Speaking ability 10.5% Reading ability 33.5% Writing ability 12.2% Knowledge of Grammar 14.6%
18.4%	Superior		17.0%	101		Superior Go 30.6% 40 10.5% 50 12.2% 50 12.2% 50
26.5%	Good	Training	55.3%	Good	fraining	Good 40.8% 54.7% 57.1% 54.2%
30.6%	Adequate	in backgro	23.4%	Adequate	in literature	Adequate 14.3% 22.4% 6.3% 24.5% 25.0%
20.4%	Poor	und cultu	4.3%	Poor	ire in light	Poor 12.2% 16.3% 2.1% 6.1%
4.1%	Inadequate	in background culture and customs	1	Inadequate	of teach	0.8% 14.3% 12.2% 2.0% 14.7% 22.4% 16.3% 10.2% 8.3% 6.3% 2.1% 17.1% 24.5% 6.1% 14.2% 25.0% 6.5%
64	No. of Respondents	in o	747	Respondents		No. of Respondents 49 49 49 48



	Superior Good Adequate Poor Inadequate of foreign language teacher guas 12.5% 20.8% 41.7% 16.7% 12.3% 12.3% 12.5% 25.5% 25.5% 25.5% 15.7% 17.6% 2.0% eacher guas 11.4% 25.5% 15.7% 17.6% 2.0% 16.3% 14.1% 6.1% 2.0% 16.3% 14.1% 16.3% 14.1% 6.1% 2.0%	•			<u> </u>		+	
of 12.5% 20.8% 41.7% 16.7% 12.3% ques of 12.5% 20.8% 41.7% 16.7% 12.3% 12.3% 12.5% 20.8% 41.7% 20.8% 12.5% 20.8% 12.5% 20.8% 12.5% 20.8% 12.5% 20.8% 12.5% 20.8% 20.8% 12.5% 20.8% 2	Training in techniques of foreign language teach some consider of the sifectiveness of foreign language teach techniques of la.5% 20.8% 41.7% 16.7% 12.3% 20.8% 41.7% 16.7% 12.3% 12.3% 12.5% 20.8% 41.7% 20.8% 12.5% 12.5% 20.8% 12.5% 20.8% 12.5% 12.5% 12.5% 12.5% 12.5% 12.5% 12.5% 12.5% 12.5% 12.5% 12.5% 12.5% 12.5% 17.6% 20.8% 12.5% 12.5% 12.5% 12.5% 12.5% 12.5% 12.5% 12.5% 12.5% 12.5% 12.5% 12.5% 12.5% 12.5% 12.5% 12.6% 39.6% 20.8% 12.5% 12.5% 12.5% 12.5% 12.5% 12.5% 12.5% 12.5% 12.5% 12.5% 12.5% 12.5% 12.6% 39.9%		2.0%	6.1%	4.1%	16.3%	71.4%	Percentage of pract teaching in the ma- language
of 12.5% 20.8% 41.7% 16.7% 12.3% 12.3% 12.5% 20.8% 41.7% 16.7% 12.3% 12.3% 12.5% 20.8% 41.7% 20.8% 12.3% 12.5% 20.8% 41.7% 20.8% 12.5% 20.8% 12.5% 20.8% 12.5% 20.8% 12.5% 20.8%	Training in techniques of foreign language teach owledge of techniques 12.5% 20.8% 41.7% 16.7% 12.7% 12.7% techniques of 12.7% 20.8% 41.7% 16.7% 12.7% 12.7% techniques of the effectiveness of foreign language superior Good Adequate Poor Inadequate perhods classes 10.4% 16.7% 39.6% 20.8% 12.5%	49	3.9%	17.6%	15.7%	23.5%	39.2%	
of 12.5% 20.8% 41.7% 16.7% 12.3% 12.3% angusts superfor Good Adequate Poor Inadequate Superfor Good Adequate Poor Inadequate classes 10.4% 16.7% 39.6% 20.8% 12.5% 12.5% Evaluation of practice teaching period Superfor Good Adequate Poor Inadequate Superfor Good Adequate Poor Inadequate	Training in techniques of foreign language teach superior Good Adequate Poor Inadequate techniques of 12.5% 20.8% 41.7% 16.7% 12.3% techniques of the effectiveness of foreign language superior Good Adequate Poor Inadequate superior 39.6% 39.6% 20.8% 12.5% 16.7% 39.6% 20.8% 12.5% Superior Good Adequate Poor Inadequate	49	2.0%	7.8%	23.5%	25.5%	41.8%	Master teacher qua language teacher
of 12.5% 20.8% 41.7% 16.7% 12.3% 12.3% 20.8% 41.7% 39.6% 20.8% 12.5% 20.8% 20.8% 12.3% 20.8% 20.8% 12.5% 20.8% 20.8% 12.5% 20.8% 20.8% 12.5% 20.8% 20.8% 12.5% 20.8% 20.	Superior Good Adequate Poor Inadequate teachniques of foreign language teach and the chiniques of language teach and language langua	No. of Respondents	Inadequate	Poor	Adequate	Good	Superior	
of 12.5% 20.8% 41.7% 16.7% 12.3% 12.3% where of the effectiveness of foreign language superior Good Adequate Poor Inadequate classes of 10.4% 16.7% 39.6% 20.8% 12.5%	Superior Good Adequate Poor Inadequate teach 12.5% 20.8% 41.7% 16.7% 12.3% 12.3% techniques of foreign language teach techniques of 12.5% 20.8% 41.7% 16.7% 12.3% 12.3% 12.5% 12.5% 12.5% 12.5% 12.5% 12.5% 12.5% 12.5% 12.5% 12.5% 12.5% 12.5% 12.5% 12.5% 12.5% 12.5%		ng period	tice teach	ion of prac	Zvaluat		f)
of 12.5% 20.8% 41.7% 16.7% 12.3% uperior Good Adequate Poor Inadequate Superior Good Adequate Poor Inadequate	Superior Good Adequate Poor Inadequate teachniques of foreign language teachniques of foreign language teachniques of 12.5% 20.8% 41.7% 16.7% 12.3% 12	84	12.5%	20.8%	39.6%	16.7%	10.4%	Effectiveness of methods classes
of 12.5% 20.8% 41.7% 16.7% 12.3% 12.3%	Superior Good Adequate Poor Inadequate teach techniques of foreign language teach and the state of the effectiveness of foreign language teach techniques of the effectiveness of foreign language	No. of Respondents	Inadequate	Poor	Adequate		1 2	
Superior Good Adequate Poor Inadequate of 12.5% 20.8% 41.7% 16.7% 12.3%	Training in techniques of foreign language teachi Superior Good Adequate Poor Inadequate teaching of techniques of foreign language teaching techniques of foreign language teaching language teaching techniques of foreign language teaching language language teaching language teaching language teaching language language teaching language teaching language teaching language languag	methods classes	language	R,		∴ ∹ 3		
Good Adequate Poor Inadequate	Training in techniques of foreign language teachi	84	12.3%	16.7%	47.7%	20.8%	12.5%	Knowledge of techniques
	Training in techniques of foreign language teaching	Respondents	Inadequate	Poor	Adequate	Good	_	

